

SOC 2 & CyberGRX: What's the Difference?

A Service Organizational Control 2 (SOC 2) audit and a CyberGRX assessment may appear to be quite similar at first glance, but there are very distinct and important differences between these two security products. The following table describes these disparities.

SOC 2	VS	CyberGRX
METHODOLOGY		
<p>SOC 2 is an auditing procedure that attempts to evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the Trust Services Criteria (TSC).</p> <p>The TSC is a broad, high level set of controls covering five categories: security, availability, processing integrity, confidentiality, and privacy. As an example, the TSC CC6.1 includes the following statement as a “focus point.”</p> <p>Uses Encryption to Protect Data – The entity uses encryption to supplement other measures used to protect data at rest, when such protections are deemed appropriate based on assessed risk.</p>		<p>CyberGRX assessments are based on a comprehensive set of security controls that are leveraged from the world’s most prominent security and privacy standards and regulations. These include: NIST SP 800-53, NIST CSF, ISO 27001/2, PCI-DSS, NERC-CIP, GDPR, CCPA, etc.</p> <p>The CyberGRX control framework provides much deeper technical insights than what is possible via the TSC. By comparison to the TSC CC6.1 on the left, the CyberGRX assessment dives into the specificities regarding encryption of data at rest.</p> <p>Our sub-control, 3.5.2.1 Data at Rest Encryption addresses, among other things, FIPS 140-2 strength encryption, field level encryption, native hardware encryption, specific assets that are encrypted, and the frequency with which encryption at rest standards are reviewed.</p>
CONTROL EVALUATION VS. RISK MANAGEMENT		
<p>As stated above, the SOC 2 intends to evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of security controls.</p>		<p>CyberGRX is not limited to simple control evaluation. We are focused on providing real risk management insights for our customers. To do this, we use methods such as kill chain analysis which allows us to understand the types of attacks and attack techniques most likely to be leveraged against particular third parties.</p> <p>This analysis highlights specific controls in our assessment that are most critical to the security posture of the third party. CyberGRX utilizes the MITRE ATT&CK matrix to develop kill chains.</p>
ASSESSMENT TIME FRAME		
<p>A SOC 2 Type 1 is a point-in-time assessment.</p>		<p>Third parties can and are encouraged to update their CyberGRX assessments as changes occur in their security programs.</p> <p>In addition, we update our threat intelligence feeds daily, which can illustrate changes in the threat landscape and resulting risk gaps associated with specific third parties.</p>

SOC2

VS

CyberGRX

ASSESSMENT SCOPE

The scope of a SOC 2 is determined by the assessed organization and their auditor. This scoping includes the products and services that will be assessed, as well as the controls from the TSC that will be included. Oftentimes an SOC 2 will exclude entire categories of controls. In addition, there is no specificity to the controls in a SOC 2 beyond the previous example. This means that the results of any two SOC reports may be wildly different.

CyberGRX offers three tiers of assessment that can be used to customize the level of rigor applied to the third party. Beyond that, there is no mechanism by which the third party can “scope out” controls or questions.

This means that our customers benefit from a standardized assessment process and output. In addition, this allows for an “apples to apples” comparison between third parties assessed via CyberGRX.

DATA VALIDATION

SOC 2 audits and certification are provided by auditors from Certified Public Accounting (CPA) firms. The certification is based on the opinion of the auditor, so SOC 2 reports can vary significantly based on the capability, experience, and professionalism of the auditor.

CyberGRX applies the same proprietary risk analysis algorithms against all assessments.

These algorithms utilize the kill chain analysis previously described, combined with security scan results, threat intelligence feeds, inherent risk data, and a third party’s assessment answers to provide standardized, data driven output.

PRICING

SOC 2 audits are typically time consuming and expensive to complete. This can be a major barrier for organizations, particularly small and medium-sized businesses.

Third parties do not pay anything to complete a CyberGRX assessment.

The CyberGRX Exchange model means that there are thousands of up-to-date third-party assessments available at any moment. All our customers need to do is identify the third parties they use and request access to their assessments. If the third parties already have a completed assessment on the Exchange, the entire process from request to authorization can take a matter of minutes.

If the third party has not yet completed a CyberGRX assessment, the “crowd-sourced” demand created by the Exchange can be the catalyst to propel them into action. Our user-friendly web interface and dedicated support teams result in most assessments being delivered within a matter of weeks.

If you're looking for an innovative, dynamic approach to TPCRM, **schedule a demo** or read our **Vendor Risk Management Guide** to learn more.